

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,258	04/03/2001	P. Timothy Moore	14856.5.2.	2703	
John C. Stringham			EXAMINER		
WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			SPISICH, MARK		
60 EAST SOUT	TH TEMPLE STY, UT 84111		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1744		
oner Ernee e	,				
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1				
	09/826,258	MOORE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	(.				
	Mark Spisich	1744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this c NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 J	<u>uly 2003</u> .		• .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> Disposition of Claims			e merits is				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 22-35</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	-					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-11,20 and 22-35</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 12-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	, ,		:				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 U.S.C. S	110(a) (d) ar (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0,5.C. §	119(a)-(u) 01 (1).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		inlication No	•				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori	·	•	Stane				
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional	application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		ummary (PTO-413) Paper No formal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/826,258

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Comment Re Priority

The phrase "intends to" does not seem to be an appropriate statement. It is suggested that the following phrase be inserted after "entirety":, which claims priority of United States Provisional Application Serial No. 60/109,769 filed November 25, 1998. – Note: Any substitute paragraph should also update the status of the parent application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 14 now simply recites structure which has in its entirety been added by amendment to claim 12 and is redundant. Applicant should review the claims for any additional informalities.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3,12-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bourrelly (USP 5,615,439). The patent to Bourrelly discloses a brush comprising a atraumatic tip (118,218), fill wire (114'), shaft (110'), inner sheath (120'a) and outer sheath (126') (see fig 1A) and further wherein the brush is adapted to clean passageways in a medical device.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/826,258

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bourrelly (USP 5,615,439). The patent to Bourrelly discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of shaft being a memory alloy, in particular NiTiNOL. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified the shaft (the support member 110,110' or 210) to be of such an alloy so that the handle would be capable of at least partially negotiating any curves in the passageway. It has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4-11,20 and 22-35 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 22 July 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regard to the rejected independent claims, applicant's primary argument pertains to the addition of the term "permanent" to describer the inner sheath. Even if the prior art is described as being replaceable, the mere addition of the term "permanent" does not structurally define over the prior art. Absent some future act on

Application/Control Number: 09/826,258

Art Unit: 1744

the part of the user, the inner sheath is a permanent part of the cleaning brush of Bourrelly. The added term does not structurally define over the prior art. With regard to claims 15 and 19, the difference in materials is noted; however, the rejection has been maintained for the additional reason(s) set forth above. Given the fact that the device of Bourrelly is also used to clean passageways that might include bends or curves, it is not unreasonable to deem it obvious that one would choose a material that could bend to some extent and that it would go back to its original shape when removed from the passageway. Applicant has not established the criticality of this material per se. The main concern is the shape memory.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/826,258

Art Unit: 1744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (703) 308-1271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6-3:30), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J Warden can be reached on (703) 308-2920. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Mall June

Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

MS